

CZU 343.98:004.8

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20813376>

DATA ANALYSIS IN CRIMINOLOGY: MODERN TOOLS FOR CRIME PREVENTION AND CONTROL

Iurie LARII,
PhD, University Professor,
Department of Criminal Law and Criminology,
“Ștefan cel Mare” Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs,
Republic of Moldova
ORCID: 0000-0003-4277-535X

Abstract

The digital transformation of society has brought about significant changes in the ways information relevant to crime prevention and crime control is collected, processed, and utilized. Data analysis has become one of the most important tools employed by law enforcement agencies, enabling the identification of crime patterns, the anticipation of criminogenic risks, and the optimization of decision-making processes.

This study examines the principal methods and modern data analysis tools used in criminology, with a particular focus on predictive systems, artificial intelligence, geospatial analysis, social network analysis, and machine learning technologies. The experiences of several countries, including the United States, Germany, the United Kingdom, and the Netherlands, are analyzed, along with the ethical, legal, and operational challenges associated with the use of these technologies.

The findings highlight that data analysis has become an indispensable instrument of modern criminology; however, its effectiveness depends on data quality, algorithmic transparency, and respect for fundamental human rights.

Keywords: data analysis, digital criminology, artificial intelligence, crime prevention, predictive policing.

ANALIZA DATELOR ÎN CRIMINOLOGIE: INSTRUMENTE MODERNE DE PREVENIRE ȘI COMBATERE A CRIMINALITĂȚII

Abstract

Transformarea digitală a societății a determinat o schimbare semnificativă în modalitățile de colectare, procesare și valorificare a informațiilor relevante pentru prevenirea și combaterea criminalității. Analiza datelor a devenit unul dintre cele mai importante instrumente utilizate de instituțiile responsabile de aplicarea legii, oferind posibilitatea identificării tiparelor infracționale, anticipării riscurilor criminogene și optimizării procesului decizional. Studiul examinează principalele metode și instrumente moderne de analiză a datelor utilizate în criminologie, cu accent asupra sistemelor predictive, inteligenței artificiale, analizei geospațiale, analizei rețelelor sociale și tehnologiilor de tip „învățare automată”.

Sunt analizate experiențele unor state precum Statele Unite ale Americii, Germania, Regatul Unit și Țările de Jos, inclusiv provocările etice, juridice și operaționale asociate utilizării acestor tehnologii.

Concluziile evidențiază faptul că analiza datelor reprezintă un instrument indispensabil al criminologiei moderne, însă eficiența sa depinde de calitatea datelor, transparența algoritmilor și respectarea drepturilor fundamentale ale omului.

Cuvinte-cheie: analiza datelor, criminologie digitală, inteligență artificială, prevenirea criminalității, poliție predictivă..

Introduction. The profound transformations generated by the digital revolution have produced significant changes in almost all areas of social life, including the sphere of criminality and the institutional mechanisms designed for its prevention and control. The development of information technologies, the expansion of internet access, the exponential growth in the volume of data generated daily, and the emergence of new forms of social interaction have created unprecedented opportunities for criminological research and for the activities of law enforcement agencies. At the same time, these transformations have facilitated the emergence of new forms of crime characterized by increased complexity, high mobility, and the ability to transcend national borders with ease.

Within the context of the digital society, traditional methods of crime analysis often prove insufficient to address the challenges generated by the dynamics of contemporary criminal phenomena. Whereas criminological research in the past was primarily based on the analysis of official statistics and the retrospective interpretation of offences that had already occurred, the emphasis has increasingly shifted toward proactive methods aimed at the early identification of criminogenic risks and the anticipation of the evolution of criminal phenomena. This paradigm shift is driven by the development of data analysis technologies, which make it possible to process vast quantities of information and transform them into knowledge useful for substantiating strategic and operational decisions [1, pp. 3-15].

Data analysis has thus become one of the most important instruments of contemporary criminology. Through advanced statistical techniques, artificial intelligence algorithms, geographic information systems, and machine learning mechanisms, researchers and practitioners are able to identify criminal patterns, assess risk factors, and develop predictive models capable of contributing to the effective prevention and control of crime. The importance of these instruments is reflected in their ability to capitalize on highly diverse sources of information, including police databases, judicial records, socio-economic data, information originating from the online environment, and real-time data streams generated by modern digital infrastructures.

The relevance of this topic is determined by the need to adapt criminological research and law enforcement institutions to the realities of the information society. The growth of cybercrime, the expansion of online fraud, the use of social networks for criminal purposes, and the strengthening of the transnational dimension of organized crime require the adoption of modern tools for the analysis and interpretation of information. Under these conditions, data analysis no longer represents merely an auxiliary instrument for investigative activities; rather, it becomes a central element in the process of formulating public security policies and managing criminogenic risks.

The importance of this research is further supported by the increasing orientation of the scientific community toward the concept of evidence-based criminology. According to this approach, crime prevention and crime control measures must be grounded in empirical data and in the objective assessment of the effectiveness of institutional interventions. In this context, data analysis provides the methodological support necessary for identifying criminal trends, evaluating the impact of public policies, and optimizing intervention strategies [2, p. 4].

The purpose of the present study is to examine the role of data analysis in contemporary criminology and to highlight the principal modern instruments used for crime prevention and control. The research seeks to identify the advantages and limitations associated with the use of data-driven technologies, as well as to analyze relevant international experiences in this field.

Research methodology. From a methodological perspective, the research is based

on the application of both general and special scientific methods of investigation. The methods employed include analysis and synthesis, the comparative method, the systemic method, the logical method, and the documentary method. In addition, doctrinal sources, normative acts, institutional reports, and empirical studies relevant to the topic under examination have been utilized.

Discussions and results obtained. I. Data Analysis in Criminology: Conceptual and Methodological Delimitations.

1.1. The Concept of Criminological Data Analysis. Over the last decades, the development of information technologies and the accelerated digitalization of society have generated profound transformations in the field of criminological research. These changes have facilitated the emergence of new methods for collecting, processing, and interpreting information relevant to understanding criminal phenomena, leading to the consolidation of the role of data analysis in both scientific research and practical activities aimed at crime prevention and control. At present, data analysis is regarded as one of the most important components of modern criminology, being employed both in the theoretical study of criminal phenomena and in the activities of institutions responsible for law enforcement.

In a broad sense, criminological data analysis may be defined as the systematic process of collecting, integrating, organizing, processing, and interpreting information concerning crime, offenders, victims, criminogenic factors, and society's reaction to criminal phenomena. The primary purpose of this process is to identify patterns, relationships, and trends that make it possible to explain the mechanisms underlying criminal behavior and to substantiate effective measures for crime prevention and control [3, p. 7].

Specialized literature emphasizes that criminological data analysis goes beyond the traditional statistical approach, which is limited to describing criminal phenomena through quantitative indicators. Contemporary criminology seeks not only to identify the dimensions and structure of crime but also to explain the causes, conditions, and mechanisms that facilitate the emergence of criminal behavior. In this context, data analysis becomes an essential instrument for transforming fragmented information into knowledge useful both for scientific research and for decision-making processes [4, p. 16].

The development of this field is closely linked to the emergence of the concept of evidence-based criminology, which promotes the formulation of crime prevention and control policies on the basis of empirical data and scientific research findings. According to this approach, the effectiveness of institutional interventions must be assessed through objective methods of analysis and measurement, while decisions concerning resource allocation and the implementation of security strategies must be supported by verifiable information.

In practice, criminological data analysis employs information derived from a wide variety of sources. Among the most important are official crime statistics, police databases, records maintained by prosecution services and courts, information from the penitentiary system, victimization studies, sociological research, demographic and economic data, as well as information generated in the online environment. The integration of these sources enables the development of a multidimensional perspective on criminal phenomena and facilitates the identification of relationships between the individual, social, and situational factors that influence crime.

The importance of data analysis is further amplified by recent transformations in criminal phenomena. Globalization, the development of digital technologies, and the expansion of cyberspace have contributed to the emergence of new forms of crime characterized by high mobility, operational complexity, and the capacity to transcend national

borders. Under such conditions, traditional investigative and analytical methods become insufficient, making it necessary to employ instruments capable of processing large volumes of information and rapidly identifying patterns relevant to the prevention and suppression of criminal activities [5, pp. 21-22].

Consequently, criminological data analysis should not be viewed exclusively as a technical method of information processing but rather as a fundamental component of contemporary criminological research and modern security systems. The ability to transform data into relevant knowledge represents one of the essential prerequisites for the development of effective crime prevention and control policies in the digital society.

1.2. Types of Analysis Used in Criminology

The diversification of information sources and the development of data processing technologies have led to the emergence of distinct forms of analysis employed in criminological research and law enforcement activities. Specialized literature identifies four fundamental categories of analysis: descriptive analysis, diagnostic analysis, predictive analysis, and prescriptive analysis.

Descriptive analysis represents the basic level of the analytical process and aims to describe the characteristics of criminal phenomena. It answers the question “What happened?” and involves the use of statistical methods to highlight the frequency, distribution, and structure of crime. Through this form of analysis, it is possible to identify general trends in criminal phenomena, changes occurring over time, and differences existing between various regions or social groups [2, p. 46].

Diagnostic analysis seeks to identify the causes and conditions that have led to the occurrence of a particular criminal phenomenon. It attempts to answer the question “Why did it happen?” and employs statistical, sociological, and criminological methods to examine the relationships between crime and various risk factors. Diagnostic analysis occupies an important place in criminological research because it contributes to understanding the mechanisms underlying criminal behavior and facilitates the development of appropriate preventive measures.

Predictive analysis constitutes one of the most dynamic directions in the development of contemporary criminology. It employs mathematical models, statistical algorithms, and machine-learning techniques to estimate the probability of future criminal events. Its primary objective is not the exact prediction of human behavior but rather the identification of risks and trends that may contribute to crime prevention and to the optimization of decision-making processes [6, p. 14].

Finally, prescriptive analysis represents the most advanced form of data analysis. It goes beyond the forecasting stage and provides recommendations regarding the measures that should be adopted to achieve specific objectives. In criminology, prescriptive analysis may be used to evaluate various intervention scenarios and identify the most effective strategies for crime prevention and control [7].

These forms of analysis do not operate in isolation but rather complement one another within a complex process of research and evaluation. Together, they make it possible to move from the simple description of crime to the anticipation and effective management of criminogenic risks.

1.3. Big Data and Contemporary Criminology

The exponential growth in the volume of information generated within the digital society has facilitated the emergence of the concept of Big Data, which has become one of the most important research topics in contemporary criminology. The concept refers to the set of technologies and methods used for the collection, processing, and analysis of extremely large volumes of data characterized by high diversity and rapid rates of generation.

In the specialized literature, Big Data is commonly described through the model of five fundamental characteristics: volume, velocity, variety, veracity, and value. These characteristics demonstrate that modern data analysis is not limited to the quantity of available information but also involves assessing its quality, relevance, and usefulness for decision-making processes [8, pp. 15-2].

The application of the Big Data concept in criminology allows for the simultaneous integration of highly diverse information sources, including police databases, video footage, geolocation data, social media activities, economic information, and data generated by urban digital infrastructures. This capacity for integration contributes to the development of analytical models that are far more complex and accurate than those used in the past.

A major advantage of Big Data lies in its ability to identify correlations and patterns that cannot be detected through traditional statistical methods. Researchers are thus able to analyze a very large number of variables simultaneously and reveal complex relationships between social, economic, and criminogenic factors that influence the evolution of crime.

At the same time, the use of Big Data raises numerous methodological, legal, and ethical concerns. These include the risk of excessive information collection, difficulties in verifying data quality, the protection of privacy, and the possibility of reproducing biases already present in the datasets used to train algorithms. For this reason, contemporary literature emphasizes the necessity of developing effective mechanisms for the control and oversight of technologies based on Big Data within the field of law enforcement [9, pp. 3-30].

From the perspective of the development of digital criminology, Big Data represents one of the fundamental resources for the formulation of evidence-based public policies and for strengthening the capacity of institutions to prevent and combat crime in a social environment undergoing continuous transformation.

II. Modern Data Analysis Tools Used in Crime Prevention and Crime Control.

2.1. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Geospatial Crime Analysis. One of the most significant methodological innovations introduced into criminology during recent decades has been the use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) for analyzing the spatial distribution of crime. The development of these technologies has made it possible to overcome the limitations of traditional statistics and has provided opportunities to examine criminal phenomena from the perspective of the relationship between crime and the environment in which it occurs.

The theoretical foundations of geospatial analysis originate in urban ecology and the theories of social disorganization developed by representatives of the Chicago School. According to these approaches, the distribution of crime is not random but is influenced by the characteristics of the social and physical environment. Subsequent research demonstrated that certain urban areas consistently concentrate high levels of criminal activity, a phenomenon commonly referred to as crime hotspots [3, pp. 45-60].

Geospatial analysis enables the identification of such areas with elevated criminogenic risk through the correlation of information concerning crime locations with data related to urban infrastructure, population density, economic development, population mobility, and other relevant variables. Through digital mapping and crime-mapping techniques, law enforcement agencies can visualize the geographical distribution of criminal phenomena and identify spatial patterns associated with different categories of offences.

A major advantage of GIS lies in its capacity to integrate and graphically represent large volumes of information originating from various sources. Statistical data can thus be

combined with socioeconomic, demographic, and urban planning information, facilitating the development of complex models for criminogenic risk assessment. In this manner, geospatial analysis goes beyond the mere cartographic representation of crime and becomes an instrument of strategic and operational evaluation.

2.2. Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Analysis. The development of artificial intelligence has generated one of the most significant methodological transformations in contemporary criminology. Machine-learning algorithms and automated data-processing technologies enable the identification of complex patterns of criminal behavior and the development of forecasts concerning the evolution of criminal phenomena.

Predictive analysis represents the process through which historical data and statistical algorithms are used to estimate the probability of future events. In the field of criminology, this method seeks to identify areas, time periods, or circumstances in which there is a high probability that criminal offences will occur [10, pp. 100-108].

The operation of predictive systems is based on the analysis of large volumes of information concerning previously recorded crimes. Algorithms identify regularities and relationships among different variables and generate models capable of estimating the level of risk associated with particular situations. Unlike traditional approaches, these systems are able to process thousands of variables simultaneously and update their assessments in real time.

Among the best-known applications of predictive analysis are PredPol and HunchLab, systems employed in various jurisdictions to anticipate the spatial distribution of crime and optimize police operational activities [6, pp. 21-25].

Supporters of these technologies argue that they contribute to the more efficient use of available resources and enable preventive activities to be directed toward areas and situations characterized by high criminogenic risk. Furthermore, predictive systems provide opportunities to identify trends that cannot be detected through conventional analytical methods.

2.3. Criminal Network Analysis. The increasing complexity of organized crime has led to the development of analytical methods capable of moving beyond the traditional approach focused exclusively on the individual offender. In this context, social network analysis has become one of the most important methods used for investigating modern criminal structures [11, pp. 5-7].

The fundamental premise of this method is that organized criminal activities result from interactions among individuals, groups, and organizations that form complex networks of relationships. Network analysis seeks to identify central actors, communication channels, and the mechanisms through which criminal activities are coordinated.

Through the use of specialized algorithms and graphical representations, researchers can visualize the structure of a criminal network and identify individuals who exert influence over other members. This approach makes it possible to overcome the limitations of traditional analyses and provides a more realistic perspective on the functioning of criminal groups.

Network analysis is frequently used in investigations involving drug trafficking, economic crime, human trafficking, and organizations engaged in terrorist activities. In many cases, identifying key actors within the network allows authorities to disrupt the functioning of the entire criminal structure.

The importance of this method is further amplified by the transnational nature of contemporary crime. Under conditions of globalization and digitalization, criminal groups develop extensive networks operating simultaneously across several states, and the analysis of relationships among participants becomes essential for understanding the mech-

anisms governing their activities [12].

2.4. Open-Source Intelligence Analysis. The expansion of the Internet and the development of digital platforms have generated enormous quantities of publicly available information. In this context, Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT) has become an indispensable instrument of modern criminological analysis and criminal investigations [13, pp. 25-50].

OSINT represents the process of collecting, verifying, and analyzing information derived from publicly accessible sources, including websites, social networks, public registries, open databases, media publications, and other digital resources. Unlike traditional intelligence-gathering methods, OSINT utilizes data that are legally available and can be obtained without the use of intrusive measures.

The importance of OSINT is particularly evident in the investigation of cybercrime, online fraud, violent extremism, and radicalization processes. The analysis of information published within the digital environment enables the identification of connections among individuals, organizations, and events, thereby facilitating the early detection of threats to public security [14].

III. International Practices Regarding the Use of Data Analysis in Crime Prevention and Crime Control. 3.1. The Experience of the United States of America. The United States of America is considered one of the pioneers in the use of data analysis within law enforcement activities. The development of modern systems of criminological analysis has been driven both by the scale of criminal phenomena and by the necessity of utilizing institutional resources efficiently in a context characterized by social and geographical diversity.

A landmark moment in this process was the implementation of the COMPSTAT (Computerized Statistics) system, developed within the New York Police Department during the 1990s. This system introduced an innovative management model based on the systematic analysis of crime data and the continuous evaluation of the performance of operational units. Through the use of updated information and geospatial analyses, COMPSTAT enabled the rapid identification of criminal trends and the adoption of operational measures tailored to existing circumstances [15, pp. 97-125].

A subsequent stage was represented by the development of predictive policing systems. Beginning in the 2000s, several police departments implemented instruments based on statistical algorithms and mathematical models capable of estimating the probability of crimes occurring within specific geographical areas and time intervals. Among the most well-known examples are PredPol and HunchLab, which utilize historical crime data to identify areas characterized by elevated criminogenic risk.

In recent years, U.S. law enforcement agencies have increasingly employed artificial intelligence, criminal network analysis, and similar instruments to prevent and combat cybercrime, financial fraud, and transnational organized crime. This evolution confirms the orientation of the American system toward security models based on the intensive use of data and the integration of digital technologies into operational and strategic processes.

3.2. The Experience of the United Kingdom. The United Kingdom represents one of the most relevant European examples regarding the integration of data analysis into policing activities and the process of developing public security policies. The development of this field is closely linked to the promotion of the concept of intelligence-led policing, which emphasizes the use of information and criminological analyses as the foundation of operational and strategic activities.

The British model assigns a central role to the process of collecting, evaluating, and

analyzing information related to criminality. In this context, the National Intelligence Model (NIM) has become the principal methodological framework used to coordinate analytical activities and support decision-making processes within police services [1, pp. 27-45].

A distinctive feature of the British experience is the emphasis placed on evidence-based criminology and on the continuous evaluation of the effectiveness of implemented measures. Law enforcement institutions systematically employ statistical analyses, impact assessments, and empirical research in order to identify the most effective crime prevention strategies.

Over the last decade, British authorities have invested significantly in the development of predictive analytical tools and in the use of artificial intelligence technologies. These instruments are used to identify individuals with heightened vulnerability to victimization, assess risks associated with domestic violence, and combat cybercrime.

At the same time, the authorities have developed complex oversight and auditing mechanisms designed to ensure compliance with the principles of legality and the protection of fundamental human rights.

3.3. The Experience of Germany. In Germany, the development of data analysis tools in the field of public security has been influenced by the need to combat organized crime, terrorism, and threats generated by cybercrime. Unlike the American model, which is characterized by a strong orientation toward predictive analysis, the German approach is distinguished by a pronounced emphasis on the protection of fundamental rights and on legal oversight of the use of digital technologies.

German authorities employ sophisticated information-analysis systems to identify risks associated with serious and organized crime. In recent years, several federal states (*Länder*) have implemented platforms based on artificial intelligence and predictive analysis, including the PRECOBS (Pre-Crime Observation System), designed to identify risks related to property crimes [6, pp. 21-33].

The use of these instruments has nevertheless generated intense debates concerning their compatibility with constitutional principles and personal data protection regulations. The influence of German constitutional jurisprudence and European standards regarding privacy protection has led to the development of strict mechanisms for the control and accountability of institutions utilizing data-analysis technologies.

The German experience highlights the importance of achieving a balance between the need to ensure public security and the obligation to respect the fundamental rights of individuals. From this perspective, the German model is frequently cited in the specialized literature as an example of integrating technological innovation within a rigorous legal framework.

3.4. The Experience of the Netherlands

The Netherlands is regarded as one of the most innovative European states in the field of using analytical technologies for crime prevention and control. The strategies implemented by Dutch authorities are characterized by an advanced integration of data analysis, artificial intelligence, and interinstitutional cooperation.

A relevant example is the use of predictive analysis systems for identifying financial fraud, economic crime, and other forms of criminality that significantly affect public interests. Dutch authorities have developed sophisticated mechanisms for integrating data derived from administrative, fiscal, and judicial sources with the aim of identifying risks and optimizing control activities.

At the same time, the Dutch experience also provides important examples concerning the limitations of data analysis. A case extensively discussed in legal and criminological literature is the SyRI (System Risk Indication) system, which was used to identify risks

of social welfare fraud. The decision of Dutch courts to restrict the use of this system highlighted the importance of respecting the principles of transparency, proportionality, and privacy protection in the implementation of predictive technologies.

Currently, the Netherlands promotes an approach based on the concept of responsible AI, which seeks to capitalize on the advantages offered by data analysis without compromising fundamental rights and freedoms. This orientation is consistent with broader European trends aimed at developing artificial intelligence governance models grounded in accountability and democratic oversight.

IV. Future Perspectives on the Implementation of Data Analysis in Law Enforcement Institutions of the Republic of Moldova. In recent years, the Republic of Moldova has recorded significant progress in the process of public administration digitalization and the development of information infrastructure. The implementation of electronic registries, the expansion of digital governmental platforms, and the strengthening of institutional interoperability mechanisms have created favorable conditions for the development of modern data analysis systems and for their utilization within law enforcement activities.

A particularly important role in this process belongs to the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Moldova and its subordinate structures, which manage substantial volumes of information concerning crime, public order, and citizens' safety. The effective utilization of these data can contribute to improving the capacity to identify criminogenic risks, optimize operational activities, and support evidence-based public policies.

At present, criminological analysis conducted within law enforcement institutions is predominantly oriented toward the statistical assessment of criminal phenomena and the preparation of reports concerning the evolution of major crime indicators. Although such activities are indispensable for decision-making processes, international experiences demonstrate that the effectiveness of crime prevention and control can be significantly enhanced through the use of more advanced analytical instruments based on the integration and automated processing of large volumes of data.

A priority direction for development consists in strengthening the interoperability of information systems utilized by different public institutions. Given that information relevant to criminological analysis is dispersed across multiple administrative, judicial, and operational databases, the creation of efficient mechanisms for data exchange and integration represents an essential prerequisite for developing modern analytical capacities. The experience of European states demonstrates that database interoperability contributes to the faster identification of connections among different criminal phenomena and facilitates the adoption of effective preventive measures.

Another strategic direction concerns the development of geospatial crime analysis. The implementation of GIS technologies within policing activities would make it possible to identify areas characterized by elevated criminogenic risk, assess the territorial distribution of various categories of offences, and optimize the planning of operational activities. In the context of urbanization and increasing population mobility, such instruments can contribute to a more efficient use of available resources and enhance the responsiveness of institutions responsible for maintaining public order.

Looking ahead, considerable potential also exists in the use of artificial intelligence technologies and predictive models. Although the implementation of such systems requires substantial investments and the development of an appropriate regulatory framework, international experience demonstrates that they can facilitate the identification of criminal trends and the assessment of risks associated with different forms of criminality. At the same time, the use of such instruments must be accompanied by clear legal safeguards concerning the protection of personal data, algorithmic transparency, and respect

for fundamental human rights.

The success of the modernization process, however, depends not only on the existence of technological infrastructure but also on the development of human capital. In this regard, one of the principal challenges facing the Republic of Moldova is the training of a new generation of specialists capable of combining criminological knowledge with competencies in data analysis, statistics, information technology, and artificial intelligence. In specialized literature, this professional category is frequently associated with the concept of the “criminological analyst”, a role that is becoming increasingly important within modern law enforcement institutions.

Furthermore, the development of data analysis capacities must be accompanied by strengthened international cooperation. The participation of the Republic of Moldova in joint projects with organizations such as Europol, CEPOL, and INTERPOL can facilitate the transfer of expertise, access to best practices, and the development of modern mechanisms for information exchange concerning transnational crime.

In conclusion, data analysis represents not merely a technological innovation but a profound transformation of contemporary criminological paradigms. In the context of the expansion of the digital society and the increasing complexity of criminal phenomena, the capacity to transform data into relevant knowledge becomes one of the essential strategic resources for ensuring public security and for developing effective, sustainable, and future-oriented criminological policies.

Bibliographical references

1. Ratcliffe J.H. Intelligence-Led Policing. 2nd Edition. New York: Routledge, 2016.
2. Boba Santos R. Crime Analysis with Crime Mapping. 5th Edition. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 2021.
3. Clarke R.V., Eck J.E. Become a Problem-Solving Crime Analyst. London: Jill Dando Institute of Crime Science, 2005.
4. McCue Colleen. Data Mining and Predictive Analysis: Intelligence Gathering and Crime Analysis. 2nd Edition. Butterworth-Heinemann, 2015.
5. Brayne S. Predict and Surveil: Data, Discretion and the Future of Policing. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2020.
6. Perry W.L., McInnis B., Price C.C., Smith S.C., Hollywood J.S. Predictive Policing: The Role of Crime Forecasting in Law Enforcement Operations. Santa Monica: RAND Corporation, 2013.
7. Meijer A., Wessels M. Predictive Policing: Review of Benefits and Drawbacks. International Journal of Public Administration, 2019, Vol. 42.
8. Kitchin R. The Data Revolution: Power, Data and Knowledge. 3rd Edition. London: Sage Publications, 2021.
9. O'Neil C. Weapons of Math Destruction. New York: Crown Publishing Group, 2016.
10. Mohler G.O., Short M.B., Brantingham P.J., Schoenberg F.P., Tita G.E. Self-Exciting Point Process Modeling of Crime. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 2011, Vol. 106, No. 493.
11. Morselli C. Inside Criminal Networks. New York: Springer, 2009.
12. Europol. European Serious and Organised Crime Threat Assessment (SOCTA 2025).
13. Casey E. Digital Evidence and Computer Crime. 4th Edition. Academic Press, 2020.
14. Europol. Internet Organised Crime Threat Assessment (IOCTA 2024).
15. Silverman E.B. NYPD Battles Crime: Innovative Strategies in Policing. Boston: Northeastern University Press, 1999.